

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,159	04/08/2004	Yasuo Takebe	43888-301	7042
	7590 03/21/2007 WILL & EMERY LLP		EXAMINER	
600 13TH STR	EET, NW		43888-301 7042 EXAMINER CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG	HENG HSIANG
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	03/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/820,159	TAKEBE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tony Chuo	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address	••				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s' Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a h. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	0 January 2007.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.						
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-6,9,10 and 13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-3,7,8,11,12 and 14 is/are reject	ed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are		ected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			21(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	·				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum							
2. Certified copies of the priority docum							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· •	n received in this National Stage	}				
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a		at received					
See the attached detailed Office action for a	hist of the certified copies no	it received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/820,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-14 are currently pending. Claims 4-6, 9, 10, and 13 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non-elected inventions. The objections to the specification are withdrawn. The amended claims do overcome the previously stated 102 and 103 rejections. However, upon further consideration, claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11, 12, and 14 are rejected under the following new 102 and 103 rejections. This action is made FINAL as necessitated by the amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rabellino et al (US 2003/0143129). It is noted that a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and

Art Unit: 1745

Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). In claim 11, the intended use of the air purifying apparatus for a fuel cell is not given patentable weight.

The Rabellino reference discloses an air purification system comprising: an oxygen catalyst unit "200" that includes a catalyst having an oxidizing activity with respect to carbon monoxide; and an adsorption unit B "150(2)" that adsorbs and removes the pollutant, wherein the oxygen catalyst unit comprises heating means "210" and the adsorption unit B adsorbs and removes the pollutant after the pollutant has been oxidized (See paragraphs [0032],[0042] and Figures 7 and 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruck et al (DE 10065306.5), equivalent document (US 2004/0157095) relied upon for English translation, in view of Rabellino et al (US 2003/0143129).

The Bruck reference discloses a fuel cell module "10" comprising a fuel supply means for supplying hydrogen or hydrogen-rich gas to the anode; an air supply means; an air purification unit "40" that is provided in the air supply route of the air supply means, wherein the air purification unit "40" comprises a catalytic coating "42" that

Application/Control Number: 10/820,159

Art Unit: 1745

oxidizes the pollutant in the air and an adsorber "41" that adsorbs and removes the pollutant (See paragraph [0034],[0036]). It also discloses a catalytic coating "42" that includes a catalyst such as platinum that has an oxidizing activity with respect to carbon monoxide (See paragraph [0034],[0036]). Examiner's note: It is well known in the art that fuel cells are comprised of a cathode, an anode, and an electrolyte layer separating the anode from the cathode.

However, Bruck et al does not expressly teach an adsorber that adsorbs and removes the pollutant after the pollutant has been oxidized and a first pollutant removing means that includes a heating unit. The Rabellino reference discloses an adsorption unit B "150(2)" that adsorbs and removes the pollutant after the pollutant has been oxidized by an oxygen catalyst unit "200" that includes heating means "210" (See paragraph [0032],[0042] and Figures 7 and 11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Bruck air purification unit to include an adsorber that adsorbs and removes the pollutant after the pollutant has been oxidized and an oxygen catalyst unit that includes a heating unit in order to further purify the air by removing carbon dioxide, thereby improving the efficiency of the fuel cell.

Examiner's note: The Rabellino reference is relevant to the Bruck reference and the applicant's field of endeavor because it solves the same problem of purifying air by removing pollutants.

6. Claim 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruck et al (DE 10065306.5), equivalent document (US 2004/0157095) relied upon for English

Application/Control Number: 10/820,159

Art Unit: 1745

translation, in view of Rabellino et al (US 2003/0143129) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kim et al (US 6080059). However, Bruck et al as modified by Rabellino et al does not expressly teach a porous material that is at least one selected from the group consisting of activated carbon, alumina, zeolite, and silica and carrying at least one selected from the group consisting of permanganates, alkali salts, alkaline hydroxides, and alkaline oxides. The Kim reference discloses activated carbon, activated alumina, or zeolite impregnated with potassium permanganate that is used to remove air pollutants (See column 6, lines 55-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Bruck/Rabellino air purification unit to include a porous material that is at least one selected from the group consisting of activated carbon, alumina, zeolite, and silica and carrying at least one selected from the group consisting of permanganates, alkali salts, alkaline hydroxides, and alkaline oxides in order to more efficiently remove air pollutant gases by adsorption. Examiner's note: The Kim reference is pertinent to Bruck reference, Rabellino reference, and the applicant's field of endeavor because it solves the same problem of purifying air by removing pollutants.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rabellino et al (US 2003/0143129) in view of Kim (US 6080059). The Rabellino reference is applied to claim 11 for reasons stated above. However, Rabellino et al does not expressly teach a second pollutant removing means that adsorbs and removes the pollutant by means of a porous material carrying at least one selected from the group consisting of permanganates, alkali salts, alkaline hydroxides, and alkaline

Application/Control Number: 10/820,159

in order to more efficiently remove air pollutant gases by adsorption.

Art Unit: 1745

oxides. The Kim reference discloses activated carbon, activated alumina, or zeolite impregnated with potassium permanganate that is used to remove air pollutants (See column 6, lines 55-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Rabellino air purification system to include a porous material that is at least one selected from the group consisting of activated carbon, alumina, zeolite, and silica and carrying at least one selected from the group consisting of permanganates, alkali salts, alkaline hydroxides, and alkaline oxides

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11, 12, and 14 have been 8. considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/820,159

Art Unit: 1745

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TC

JONATHAN CREPEAU PRIMARY EXAMINER